

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/748,629	12/30/2003	Elizabeth L. Walker	ESCI-106US	7514	
24239 MOORE & V.	7590 08/25/200 AN ALLEN PLLC	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 137	06		ZHENG, LOIS L		
Research Trian	igle Park, NC 27709		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1793		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/25/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/748,629	WALKER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
LOIS ZHENG	1793		

	LOIS ZHENG	1793						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 05 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
I. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To a void abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire in Examiner Note: if box 1 is checked, check either box (a) of MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.076	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR.41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR.41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR.41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR.41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a on NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the					
7. Si For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) into the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 2,3.7,11,13 and 17-22. Claim(s) objected to.		l be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>23-31</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.  1. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the after the date of filing entered filed after the date of filing entered filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered filed after the date of filed after the da	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. \(\simega\) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. Other:								
/Roy King/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the scouring composition of Laphyre enderent from the claimed cleaning solution because scouring oncomposition of Laphyre ermoves oxide coating on the copper surface and the corrosion inhibitor in the cleaning solution as claimed forms a protective coating on the copper surface. The examiner does not find applicant's argument persuassive because the instant claim 23 only requires contacting an exposed coper surface and a scarfficial copper coupon with a cleaning solution comprising a corroion inhibitor and does not require the formation of a protective coating on the copper surface and a splipled. Lapluye's secouring process also involves contacting the copper surface with a solution comprising an corrosion inhibitor(i.e. chromic acid). In addition, the examiner does not agree that the scouring solution is a stught by Lapluye envisor with coating. Instead, the socuring process as taught by Lapluye is intended to remove any native oxide coating, Instead, the socuring process as taught by Lapluye is intended to remove any native oxide coating, process as taying the Japluye is intended to remove any native oxide or other contamination on the surface of copper in preparation for further treatment. Therefore, the examiner maintains that the scouring process as the process as the process as the process on the detail of the caching exposing both a treated and untreated opper surface is not convincing because exposing both treated and untreated copper surfaces to hydrogen sulfices in on within the scour of the finaliar rejected claims.